

# **SOUTH OF THE BOROUGH NEIGHBOURHOOD COMMITTEE**

**29 JUNE 2021**

## **LATE MATERIAL**

The following material has been received since the publication of the agenda for this meeting:

- Annex B – Comment Analysis tables

This page is intentionally left blank

<b>All Responses (This includes the portal, emails, paper questionnaires, drop-in sessions &amp; chessington community college)</b>											
<b>Comment Theme</b>			<b>Agree (Including Strongly &amp; Somewhat)</b>		<b>Neither Agree nor Disagree</b>		<b>Disagree or Strongly Disagree</b>		<b>Not Indicated</b>		
No Comment.			150	8.2%	0	0.0%	101	5.5%	4	0.2%	
Disagrees with all stated benefits of the proposal.			9	0.5%	11	0.6%	285	15.6%	1	0.1%	
Disagrees with the stated air quality and/or sustainable transport benefits of the proposal.			7	0.4%	32	1.8%	165	9.1%	1	0.1%	
Disagrees with the stated speed reduction and/or safety benefits of the proposal.			11	0.6%	21	1.2%	119	6.5%	1	0.1%	
Considers that 20mph limit would need enforcement to be effective.			135	7.4%	16	0.9%	67	3.7%	4	0.2%	
Considers that 20mph limit should be kept to residential roads and not main roads.			37	2.0%	9	0.5%	144	7.9%	1	0.1%	
Comments agreeing with 20mph limit proposals, including requests for installation on specific roads.			154	8.4%	1	0.1%	3	0.2%	3	0.2%	
Comments indicating full support for the proposal.			242	13.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Other comments not relating to the questions asked in the consultation.			12	0.7%	16	0.9%	58	3.2%	3	0.2%	
<b>Totals</b>			<b>1823</b>	<b>757</b>	<b>41.5%</b>	<b>106</b>	<b>5.8%</b>	<b>942</b>	<b>51.7%</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>1.0%</b>
<b>Kingston Town Neigh.</b>											
<b>Comment Theme</b>			<b>Agree (Including Strongly &amp; Somewhat)</b>		<b>Neither Agree nor Disagree</b>		<b>Disagree or Strongly Disagree</b>		<b>Not Indicated</b>		
No Comment.			39	10.9%	0	0.0%	16	4.5%	2	0.6%	
Disagrees with all stated benefits of the proposal.			2	0.6%	2	0.6%	46	12.8%	0	0.0%	
Disagrees with the stated air quality and/or sustainable transport benefits of the proposal.			3	0.8%	3	0.8%	36	10.0%	0	0.0%	
Disagrees with the stated speed reduction and/or safety benefits of the proposal.			6	1.7%	3	0.8%	13	3.6%	1	0.3%	
Considers that 20mph limit would need enforcement to be effective.			38	10.6%	3	0.8%	12	3.3%	2	0.6%	
Considers that 20mph limit should be kept to residential roads and not main roads.			11	3.1%	2	0.6%	34	9.5%	0	0.0%	
Comments agreeing with 20mph limit proposals, including requests for installation on specific roads.			24	6.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Comments indicating full support for the proposal.			46	12.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Other comments not relating to the questions asked in the consultation.			1	0.3%	8	2.2%	6	1.7%	0	0.0%	
<b>Totals</b>			<b>359</b>	<b>170</b>	<b>47.4%</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>5.8%</b>	<b>163</b>	<b>45.4%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1.4%</b>
<b>Percentage Response Rate Compared To Total Households</b>	<b>17,731</b>		<b>2.0%</b>	<b>1.0%</b>		<b>0.1%</b>		<b>0.9%</b>		<b>0.03%</b>	
<b>Surbiton Neigh. Response</b>											
<b>Comment Theme</b>			<b>Agree (Including Strongly &amp; Somewhat)</b>		<b>Neither Agree nor Disagree</b>		<b>Disagree or Strongly Disagree</b>		<b>Not Indicated</b>		
No Comment.			43	10.4%	0	0.0%	33	8.0%	1	0.2%	
Disagrees with all stated benefits of the proposal.			1	0.2%	5	1.2%	54	13.0%	0	0.0%	
Disagrees with the stated air quality and/or sustainable transport benefits of the proposal.			0	0.0%	1	0.2%	24	5.8%	0	0.0%	
Disagrees with the stated speed reduction and/or safety benefits of the proposal.			2	0.5%	0	0.0%	19	4.6%	0	0.0%	
Considers that 20mph limit would need enforcement to be effective.			41	9.9%	4	1.0%	16	3.9%	0	0.0%	

Considers that 20mph limit should be kept to residential roads and not main roads.	6	1.4%	1	0.2%	35	8.5%	0	0.0%			
Comments agreeing with 20mph limit proposals, including requests for installation on specific roads.	32	7.7%	0	0.0%	1	0.2%	2	0.5%			
Comments indicating full support for the proposal.	72	17.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.2%			
Other comments not relating to the questions asked in the consultation.	0	0.0%	5	1.2%	15	3.6%	0	0.0%			
<b>Totals</b>		<b>414</b>	<b>197</b>	<b>47.6%</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>3.9%</b>	<b>197</b>	<b>47.6%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	
<b>Percentage Response Rate Compared To Total Households</b>	<b>16,691</b>		<b>2.48%</b>	<b>1.18%</b>		<b>0.10%</b>		<b>1.18%</b>		<b>0.02%</b>	
<b>Maldens &amp; Coombe Neigh. Response</b>											
<b>Comment Theme</b>			<b>Agree (Including Strongly &amp; Somewhat)</b>		<b>Neither Agree nor Disagree</b>		<b>Disagree or Strongly Disagree</b>		<b>Not Indicated</b>		
No Comment.			33	8.1%	0	0.0%	26	6.4%	1	0.2%	
Disagrees with all stated benefits of the proposal.			2	0.5%	1	0.2%	69	17.0%	1	0.2%	
Disagrees with the stated air quality and/or sustainable transport benefits of the proposal.			0	0.0%	3	0.7%	41	10.1%	0	0.0%	
Disagrees with the stated speed reduction and/or safety benefits of the proposal.			3	0.7%	1	0.2%	26	6.4%	0	0.0%	
Considers that 20mph limit would need enforcement to be effective.			31	7.6%	2	0.5%	16	3.9%	0	0.0%	
Considers that 20mph limit should be kept to residential roads and not main roads.			7	1.7%	4	1.0%	37	9.1%	1	0.2%	
Comments agreeing with 20mph limit proposals, including requests for installation on specific roads.			36	8.8%	1	0.2%	0	0.0%	1	0.2%	
Comments indicating full support for the proposal.			44	10.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Other comments not relating to the questions asked in the consultation.			5	1.2%	1	0.2%	13	3.2%	1	0.2%	
<b>Totals</b>			<b>407</b>	<b>161</b>	<b>39.6%</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>3.2%</b>	<b>228</b>	<b>56.0%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1.2%</b>
<b>Percentage Response Rate Compared To Total Households</b>	<b>18,076</b>		<b>2.25%</b>	<b>0.89%</b>		<b>0.07%</b>		<b>1.26%</b>		<b>0.03%</b>	
<b>South of the Borough Neigh. Response</b>											
<b>Comment Theme</b>			<b>Agree (Including Strongly &amp; Somewhat)</b>		<b>Neither Agree nor Disagree</b>		<b>Disagree or Strongly Disagree</b>		<b>Not Indicated</b>		
No Comment.			15	6.5%	0	0.0%	16	6.9%	0	0.0%	
Disagrees with all stated benefits of the proposal.			2	0.9%	2	0.9%	36	15.6%	0	0.0%	
Disagrees with the stated air quality and/or sustainable transport benefits of the proposal.			2	0.9%	23	10.0%	32	13.9%	1	0.4%	
Disagrees with the stated speed reduction and/or safety benefits of the proposal.			0	0.0%	9	3.9%	6	2.6%	0	0.0%	
Considers that 20mph limit would need enforcement to be effective.			11	4.8%	3	1.3%	15	6.5%	1	0.4%	
Considers that 20mph limit should be kept to residential roads and not main roads.			3	1.3%	0	0.0%	14	6.1%	0	0.0%	
Comments agreeing with 20mph limit proposals, including requests for installation on specific roads.			24	10.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Comments indicating full support for the proposal.			6	2.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Other comments not relating to the questions asked in the consultation.			2	0.9%	0	0.0%	7	3.0%	1	0.4%	
<b>Totals</b>			<b>231</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>28.1%</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>16.0%</b>	<b>126</b>	<b>54.5%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1.3%</b>
<b>Percentage Response Rate Compared To Total Households</b>	<b>11,141</b>		<b>2.07%</b>	<b>0.58%</b>		<b>0.33%</b>		<b>1.13%</b>		<b>0.03%</b>	
<b>All Neighbourhoods</b>											

Comment Theme	Agree (Including Strongly & Somewhat)		Neither Agree nor Disagree		Disagree or Strongly Disagree		Not Indicated				
No Comment.	130	9.2%	0	0.0%	91	6.4%	4	0.3%			
Disagrees with all stated benefits of the proposal.	7	0.5%	10	0.7%	205	14.5%	1	0.1%			
Disagrees with the stated air quality and/or sustainable transport benefits of the proposal.	5	0.4%	30	2.1%	133	9.4%	1	0.1%			
Disagrees with the stated speed reduction and/or safety benefits of the proposal.	11	0.8%	13	0.9%	64	4.5%	1	0.1%			
Considers that 20mph limit would need enforcement to be effective.	121	8.6%	12	0.9%	59	4.2%	3	0.2%			
Considers that 20mph limit should be kept to residential roads and not main roads.	27	1.9%	7	0.5%	120	8.5%	1	0.1%	8.50%		
Comments agreeing with 20mph limit proposals, including requests for installation on specific roads.	116	8.2%	1	0.1%	1	0.1%	3	0.2%			
Comments indicating full support for the proposal.	168	11.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%			
Other comments not relating to the questions asked in the consultation.	8	0.6%	14	1.0%	41	2.9%	3	0.2%			
<b>Totals</b>			<b>1411</b>	<b>593</b>	<b>42.0%</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>6.2%</b>	<b>714</b>	<b>50.6%</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>1.2%</b>
<b>Percentage Response Rate Compared To Total Households</b>	<b>63,639</b>		<b>2.22%</b>	<b>0.93%</b>		<b>0.14%</b>		<b>1.12%</b>		<b>0.03%</b>	
<b>Non-Residents &amp; Persons Who Regularly Visit, Work or Do Business in the Borough (Includes Not Stated)</b>											
Comment Theme	Agree (Including Strongly & Somewhat)		Neither Agree nor Disagree		Disagree or Strongly Disagree		Not Indicated				
No Comment.	20	4.9%	0	0.0%	10	2.4%	0	0.0%			
Disagrees with all stated benefits of the proposal.	2	0.5%	1	0.2%	80	19.4%	0	0.0%			
Disagrees with the stated air quality and/or sustainable transport benefits of the proposal.	2	0.5%	2	0.5%	32	7.8%	0	0.0%			
Disagrees with the stated speed reduction and/or safety benefits of the proposal.	0	0.0%	8	1.9%	55	13.3%	0	0.0%			
Considers that 20mph limit would need enforcement to be effective.	14	3.4%	4	1.0%	8	1.9%	1	0.2%			
Considers that 20mph limit should be kept to residential roads and not main roads.	9	2.2%	2	0.5%	24	5.8%	1	0.2%			
Comments agreeing with 20mph limit proposals, including requests for installation on specific roads.	38	9.2%	0	0.0%	2	0.5%	0	0.0%			
Comments indicating full support for the proposal.	74	18.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%			
Other comments not relating to the questions asked in the consultation.	4	1.0%	2	0.5%	17	4.1%	0	0.0%			
<b>Totals</b>			<b>412</b>	<b>163</b>	<b>39.6%</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>4.6%</b>	<b>228</b>	<b>55.3%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0.5%</b>

<b>Social Media Responses (Total 114)</b>				
<b>Comment Theme</b>	<b>Agree (Including Strongly &amp; Somewhat)</b>	<b>Neither Agree nor Disagree</b>	<b>Disagree or Strongly Disagree</b>	<b>Not Indicated</b>
No Comment.	0	0	0	0
Disagrees with all stated benefits of the proposal.	0	0	8	0
Disagrees with the stated air quality and/or sustainable transport benefits of the proposal.	2	6	14	0
Disagrees with the stated speed reduction and/or safety benefits of the proposal.	1	1	8	0
Considers that 20mph limit would need enforcement to be effective.	5	3	3	0
Considers that 20mph limit should be kept to residential roads and not main roads.	4	2	0	0
Comments agreeing with 20mph limit proposals, including requests for installation on specific roads.	21	1	0	0
Other comments not relating to the questions asked in the consultation.	0	20	12	0
Comments indicating full support for the proposal.	3	0	0	0
<b>Totals</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>0</b>

<b>Kingston upon Thames Population &amp; Household Totals by Wards</b>			
		<b>Projected 2020 Population</b>	<b>Households (2011 Census)</b>
<b>Maldens &amp; Coombe</b>	Coombe Hill	12,074	3,686
	Coombe Vale	10,242	3,600
	Beverley	11,251	3,924
	St James	9,427	3,308
	Old Malden	10,010	3,558
	<b>N-hood Total</b>	<b>53,004</b>	<b>18,076</b>
<b>Kingston Town Centre</b>	Tudor	9,994	3,731
	Canbury	15,595	5,072
	Grove	13,141	4,878
	Norbiton	11,373	4,050
	<b>N-hood Total</b>	<b>50,103</b>	<b>17,731</b>
Surbiton	Surbiton Hill	10,907	4,603
	St Marks	12,083	4,410
	Berrylands	9,836	4,305
	Alexandra	10,109	3,373
	<b>N-hood Total</b>	<b>42,935</b>	<b>16,691</b>
<b>South of The Borough</b>	Tolworth and Hook Rise	10,600	3,732
	Chessington North and Hook	9,123	3,374
	Chessington South	10,547	4,035
	<b>N-hood Total</b>	<b>30,270</b>	<b>11,141</b>
	Boroughwide Total	<b>176,312</b>	<b>63,639</b>
<p>Note:- The above data is as presented on the Kingston data pages on the borough website, which can be accessed by the public. Population data is projected 2020 figures and the Household data is 2011 totals. Both are based on information taken from the last national census with further information given on the website pages.</p>			

This page is intentionally left blank