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AGENDA

Questions and public participation

- a 30 minute question and answer session at the start of the meeting—advance notice of questions is encouraged.

- contributions during the debate on items at the discretion of the Chair. However this is with the exception of any planning applications, enforcement or TPOs. Please see guidance notes on speaking on these items at the end of the agenda.

1. Questions
   To consider questions from the gallery on items not on the agenda

2. Apologies for absence and attendance of substitute members

3. Declarations of Interest
   Members are invited to declare any disclosable pecuniary interests and any other personal interests relevant to items on this agenda.

4. Minutes
   To confirm the minutes of the meeting held on 29 November 2016

5. Petitions
   To receive any petitions from residents.

6. Neighbourhood Manager's Report
   The Neighbourhood Manager will report on current Kingston Town Neighbourhood issues and topics.

7. Planning Application
   To determine the planning application

8. Park Road review of the traffic management system
   To consider proposals for implementation subject to no substantial objections being received

9. St James Road traffic management improvements
   To consider proposals for implementation subject to no substantial objections being received

10. Community Funding (Ward and Discretionary)
    To receive an update

Appendix A
Appendix B
Appendix C
Appendix D
11. **Appointment of Representative to Kingston First Board**

The Council has two politically proportionate representatives on the Kingston First Board which are made at the beginning of the four year term and end in May 2018. Following the vacancy arising from the passing of former Councillor Chrissie Hitchcock (Liberal Democrat) this committee is requested to agree a member for the remainder of the four year term.

(Note – Policy and Resources Committee made the two appointments to Kingston First in July 2014 (Councillors Hitchcock and Scantlebury) where it was agreed that future appointments would be made by this Neighbourhood Committee.)

12. **Work Programme**

To note the work programme:

**9 March 2017**

- Planning Applications
- Issues and Options for the Local Plan Consultation
- Local Implementation Plan 2017/18
- Richmond Road Carriageway widening

13. **URGENT ITEMS AUTHORISED BY THE CHAIR**

14. **Exclusion of press and public**

The following resolution is included as a standard item which will only be relevant if any exempt matter is to be considered at the meeting for which the Committee wish to resolve to exclude the press and public:

To exclude the public from the meeting under Section 100(A)(4) of the Local Government Act 1972 on the grounds that it is likely that exempt information, as defined in paragraph *....of Part I of Schedule 12A to the Act, would be disclosed and the public interest in maintaining the exemption outweighs the public interest in disclosing the information.

(*relevant regulatory paragraph to be indicated)

**Dates of Future Meetings**

**Neighbourhood Committee Meetings**
(Meetings are held at the Guildhall, High Street, Kingston upon Thames and start at 7.30pm unless otherwise stated)

Thursday 9 March 2017

**Neighbourhood Conversations**

Thursday 23 February 2017
Welcome to this meeting

The following information explains the way some things are done at the meeting and some of the procedures.

Information about the Kingston Town Neighbourhood Committee

The Kingston Town Neighbourhood Committee is made up of your local elected Councillors and is responsible for making decisions about local services, which can be tailored to the local area.

Do you want to ask a question?

There is a Question Time of up to 30 minutes from 7.30pm – 8pm. Questions may be submitted in writing before the meeting or handed in at the start of the meeting on the green forms provided. (There are some green slips on the chairs and there are more copies.) Please fill in the relevant part and hand this in to the Committee Secretary at the top table. For enquiries please contact Marian Morrison 020 8547 4623, email: marian.morrison@kingston.gov.uk.

Where a full reply cannot be given at the meeting, a written reply will be sent to the questioner, members of the Committee and the local press. The Chair may disallow any question which, in his/her opinion, is scurrilous, capricious, irrelevant or otherwise objectionable.

Running order

Are you here for a particular item? Items may be taken in a different order depending on the interests of the members of the public present at the meeting. Please fill out a green form at the start of the meeting and hand this to the Committee Secretary if you would like to request that a particular item is heard earlier in the meeting.

Taking part in the meeting

During the course of the meeting, the Chair, at his/her discretion, may allow contributions, including questions, on items listed on the agenda. To attract the Chair’s attention, please raise your hand.

Speaking at meetings

Speaking at a meeting can be a daunting prospect and every effort is made to make this as easy as possible. Speech friendly arrangements will take account of people who may have a speech impairment, e.g. they may have a stammer. If you have any individual requirements or feel that standing or addressing the meeting may present a difficulty, please let us know beforehand. Arrangements will be made to help you as far as reasonably possible.

Emergency evacuation arrangements

If the fire alarm sounds, please leave the building by the nearest exit. If you require assistance, please remain seated and an Officer will assist you from the building.
More meeting information

Accessibility

- All meetings have access for people who may have mobility difficulties. If there are stairs, a lift or stairlift is available. Disabled parking spaces are available on site.
- Toilet facilities will be easily accessible from the meeting room.
- For people who have hearing impairments, there is an induction loop (depending on the building, this may only be available in the first 2 or 3 rows).
- **A large print copy of the agenda can be requested in advance.**

Recording of the meeting

This meeting will be recorded and the recording will be available on the web site (www.kingston.gov.uk) with the agenda and minutes.

Filming

Residents and journalists/media wishing to film meetings are permitted to do so but are asked to give advance notice of this and respect any concerns expressed by people on being filmed.

Interests

Councillors must say if they have an interest in any of the items on the agenda. Interests may be personal or pecuniary. Depending on the interests declared, it might be necessary for the Councillor to leave the meeting. The detail on interests is in Part 5A of the Constitution - Members’ Code of Conduct.

Call In

Most of the decisions made at the Committee, except on decisions on planning applications/planning enforcement/tree preservation orders and any licensing applications, can be called in for review by 100 people who live, work or study in the Borough. The call in period is 5 days after the minutes have been published (the deadline for the call in of any of these decisions will be set out in the Minutes). Decisions are not, therefore, acted upon until it is clear that they are not going to be called in.

The call in means the decision will be considered at a meeting of full Council which may either

i. agree a response to the Call in [If Council raises no objection to the decision the decision becomes effective from the date of the Council meeting and may proceed to implementation.] or

ii. establish a Task and Finish Group to review the decision in more detail. The Task and Finish Group will report recommendations to the original decision making Committee which may either accept them or send a recommendation to Council to (i) reject the recommendation or (ii) to accept the recommendation in part or (iii) to adopt an alternative course of action.

Minutes

The minutes briefly summarise the item and record the decision. They do not record who said what during the debate.
Speaking on Planning Applications, Enforcement, or TPOs

There is a registration scheme for residents wishing to speak on Planning Applications, Tree Preservation Orders or Enforcement cases to be determined by the Committee.

(For other items on the agenda, including planning applications on which the Neighbourhood is being consulted before the application is considered by the Development Control Committee, residents may ask questions and give their views at the discretion of the Chair.)

The arrangements for speaking on applications are based on both sides having equal time to make their points to Councillors. To make sure that the meeting runs in a way which is fair to everyone, these arrangements will be followed without any exceptions being made. The full scheme is on the Council website at the ‘Council and Decision making’ webpages.

Everyone wishing to speak on a Planning Application, Enforcement Action or Tree Preservation Order must have registered **THREE** days before the meeting. **Objectors must have responded to the consultation on an application.** To register please contact: Marian Morrison 020 8547 4623, email: marian.morrison@kingston.gov.uk

**Registration deadline:** Monday 23 January 2017 at 10.00am

**Time for speaking - FIVE** minutes is allowed for each side on each application. This time has to be shared by however many there are on each side. If there is a large number of speakers, people must decide amongst themselves on a spokesperson or some other arrangement. The Chair of the meeting has **no discretion** to extend the time limit.

Speakers may find it helpful to have made some notes on what they want to say, so that they make the most of the speaking time. The notes attached to the original consultation letter from the Planning Officer will have explained the things that the Committee can't take account of - loss of view, property values etc.

The order of speaking is:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planning applications</th>
<th>Enforcement/Tree Preservation Orders</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Planning Officer to present item</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Objector(s) (5 minutes)</td>
<td>Land/property owner (5 minutes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Applicant (5 minutes)</td>
<td>The Council as applicant and/or supporters of the action proposed (5 minutes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Questions from Committee to Objector(s) and Applicant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Objector(s) (5 minutes)</td>
<td>Land/property owner (5 minutes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicant (5 minutes)</td>
<td>The Council as applicant and/or supporters of the action proposed (5 minutes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Sweep up by Planning Officer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Questions from Committee to Officers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Debate and decision by Committee</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITEM NO</td>
<td>REGISTER NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>16/12641</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Appendix A
All recommendations for planning permission in this section are automatically subject to the condition limiting the duration of the permission required by Sections 91 and 92 of the Town and Country Planning Act (as amended) 1990 unless permission is to be granted for a limited period or unless there is a specific recommendation that the period for such duration be other than the period referred to in the standard condition. All background papers are incorporated into Planning Application Reports.

The policies listed are those from the Royal Borough of Kingston upon Thames the Local Development Framework Core Strategy, Adopted April 2012.
Kingston Town Neighbourhood Committee

Date of Meeting: 25/01/2017

A Register No: 16/12641/FUL
Address: 20 VILLIERS ROAD, KINGSTON UPON THAMES, KT1 3AR

(c) Crown Copyright. All right reserved. Royal Borough of Kingston 2007. Licence number 100019285.

Please note that this plan is intended to assist in locating the development it is not the site plan of the proposed development which may have different boundaries. Please refer to the application documents for the proposed site boundaries.]
Ward: Grove
Description of Proposal: Demolition of existing building and erection of 3 storey building comprising 6 residential units
Plan Type: Full Application
Expiry Date: 17/08/2016

Applicant's Plan Nos:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plan Type</th>
<th>Received Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arboricultural Implications Assessment</td>
<td>15/06/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGI Long Views</td>
<td>20/09/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contextual Analysis</td>
<td>19/09/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross Section Plan</td>
<td>02/12/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existing Streetscene</td>
<td>29/09/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flood Risk Assessment December 2016</td>
<td>02/12/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GS-2685662 Groundsure Floodinsight</td>
<td>15/06/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning, Design and Access Statement part 1</td>
<td>22/06/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning, Design and Access Statement part 2</td>
<td>22/06/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Elevations Plan</td>
<td>02/12/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Ground Floor and First Floor Plan</td>
<td>10/01/2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Second Floor and Roof Plan</td>
<td>10/01/2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Sections Plan</td>
<td>02/12/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Streetscene</td>
<td>19/09/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site and Block Plans</td>
<td>02/12/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site Level Survey</td>
<td>15/06/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tree Report</td>
<td>19/07/2016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
BASIC INFORMATION

National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) 2012

National Planning Practice Guidance (web resource)

Development Plan: Mayor for London
London Plan March 2016 (consolidated with alterations since 2011)
LDF Core Strategy Adopted April 2012
Kingston Town Centre AAP 2008

Policies

LONDON PLAN MARCH 2016
(consolidated with alterations since 2011)

LP 3.3 Increasing housing supply
LP 3.4 Optimising housing potential
LP 3.5 Quality and design of housing development
LP 5.1 Climate change mitigation
LP 5.12 Flood risk management
LP 5.2 Minimising carbon dioxide emissions
LP 7.4 Local character
LP 7.6 Architecture

LDF CORE STRATEGY CORE POLICIES

CS 01 Climate Change Mitigation
CS 02 Climate Change Adaptation
CS 07 Managing Vehicle Use
CS 08 Character, Heritage and Design
CS 10 Housing Delivery

LDF CORE STRATEGY DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT

DM01 Sustainable Design and Construction Standard
DM03 Designing for Changing Climate
DM04 Water Management and Flood Risk
DM08 Sustainable Transport for new Development
DM09 Managing Vehicle Use for New Development
DM10 Design Requirements for New Developments
DM11 Design Approach
DM13 Housing Quality and Mix
DM14 Loss of Housing
DM15 Affordable Housing

Previous Relevant History

16/12226/FUL Demolition of existing building Refuse Full Application
Consultations

1. 79 surrounding owner/occupiers were consulted. 44 responses from separate addresses were received all of which were objections. The objections are summarised as follows:
   - overbearing scale and height;
   - overdevelopment;
   - design and materials being out of character/not in-keeping with the surrounding area;
   - loss of amenity to local residents regarding light, privacy/overlooking, and noise and disturbance and loss of amenity to and the school children attending King Athelstan Primary School with regards to privacy/overlooking
   - inadequate car parking provision;
   - increase in traffic congestion and on-street parking pressure;
   - pedestrian safety, particularly that of schoolchildren;
   - pressure on existing infrastructure;
   - inappropriate landscaping and screening;
   - poor siting of the development; and,
   - lack of proposed family housing.

Environment Agency

2. No objection subject to the imposition of a planning condition regarding finished floor levels, the implementation of void storage beneath the building and the inclusion of a Sustainable Drainage System (SUDs).

Flood Risk Authority

3. No objection.

Climate and Sustainability

4. No objection subject to the imposition of conditions regarding CO2 reductions and internal water usage.

Highways and Transportation

5. No objection subject to the imposition of planning conditions regarding; cycle parking, waste and recycling storage, a working scheme, and the reinstatement of the dropped kerb, and subject to the applicant entering a legal agreement car capping the development (for clarity the family sized unit would have access to a car parking permit).

Introduction

6. It should be noted that this application follows previously refused
application 16/12226/FUL(demolition of existing building and erection of 4 storey building containing 6 residential units) which was refused on the following summarised grounds:

- Failure to meet the requirements of the second part of the Flood Risk Exception Test;
- By reason of its height and design it would harm the character of the area;
- Insufficient level of proposed car parking and cycle parking; and,
- Insufficient amenity space provision for future occupants.

Site and Surroundings

7. The application site is located on the western side of Villiers Road and comprises a vacant, detached two-storey dwellinghouse. It was constructed in the 1950s and formerly came under the ownership of the King Athelstan Primary School (Royal Borough of Kingston upon Thames). Its occupation was reserved for the school caretaker.

8. The surrounding area is predominantly residential in character. However, King Athelstan Primary School, Athelstan Recreation Ground and Kingston Cemetery are all in close proximity.

9. The site falls within Flood Risk Zone 3a (High Probability of Flooding). It does not fall within a Conservation Area and the building which occupies the site is not listed or locally listed. The site is not covered by any Tree Preservation Orders (TPOS).

Proposal

The proposal relates to the demolition of the existing dwellinghouse and the erection of a new 3 storey block of No.6 flats comprising No.4 studios, No.1 x 1 bed flat and No.1 x 3 bed flat.

Assessment

The main considerations material to the determination of this application are:

- Principle of Proposed Development
- Loss of Housing
- Housing Quality and Mix
- Affordable Housing
- Flood Risk
- Impact on Character of Area
- Impact on Neighbouring Residential Amenity
- Highways and Parking
- Trees
- Legal Agreements
- Sustainability
- Other Material Considerations
Principle of Proposed Development

10. Paragraph 17 of the NPPF, 2012 directs that planning should proactively drive and support economic development to deliver the homes that the country needs and that every effort should be made objectively to identify and then meet the housing needs of an area. Paragraph 47 goes on to say that local authorities should "boost significantly" the supply of housing and Paragraph 49 makes it clear that "Housing applications should be considered in the context of the presumption in favour of sustainable development."

11. Regional policy supports this stance and policies 3.3 and 3.4 of the London Plan March 2016 (consolidated with alterations since 2011) emphasise that the Mayor recognises the pressing need for more homes in London and will work with relevant partners to ensure that housing need is met.

12. At the local level LDF Policy CS10 of the Council's LDF Core Strategy, 2012 sets out the Borough's housing targets as defined by the London Plan, 2011. These have increased since the adoption of the Core Strategy and Kingston currently has a target of 643 new residential dwellings per year London Plan March 2016 (consolidated with alterations since 2011). This application proposes five additional residential units.

13. The principle of creating additional residential units within a predominantly residential area is acceptable subject to compliance with Development Plan policies.

Loss of Housing

14. Policy DM14 of the Council's LDF Core Strategy, 2012 states that: "The Council will resist the loss of existing accommodation (of all types) and, in particular, dwellings which are suitable for family accommodation." This proposal involves the demolition of an existing family sized house, however there would be no net loss of family housing as a new three bedded family sized unit would be proposed as part of the new development.

Housing Quality and Mix

15. Policy DM13 of the Council's LDF Core Strategy, 2012 states that the Council will ensure that the housing delivered is of high quality and the most appropriate type. The Policy also states that new residential development is expected to incorporate a mix of unit sizes and types. The Policy requires that 1.8 of the proposed units should provide family accommodation, 1 unit with 3 or more bedrooms, unless it can be robustly demonstrated that this would be unsuitable or unviable. The application proposes 1 x 3 bed unit. This level of provision is considered acceptable in this case due to the low transport accessibility level of the site which is classified as Very Poor (PTAL 1b) and the constrained
nature of the site coupled with limited opportunities to provide on-site parking. It is considered that the applicant has attempted to provide a mix of dwelling sizes but that it would be inappropriate to provide additional family sized units due to the potential harm that these would cause to traffic congestion, road safety and on-street car parking pressure in the area. This would be of particular concern given the proximity of King Athelstan Primary School.

16. It is acknowledged that the applicant has submitted an Amenity and Mix statement dated October 2016 which states that the wider local area is represented by a mix of housing sizes and types and that a range of dwellings should be provided by the Council to meet a variety of housing needs. It is also acknowledged that the applicant has highlighted the flood risk constraints on site and how they have, to an extent, constrained the number of viable family units that can be provided. However, the proposal would fall short of the Council’s requirements and this policy conflict would need to be weighed up against all other considerations.

17. With regards to internal space standards Policy 3.5 of the London Plan March 2016 (consolidated with alterations since 2011) states that for studios, 1 bedroom flats and 3 bedroom flats, the minimum internal floor areas below are required:

- Studio (1 person bedspace with shower) = 37 sqm
- No. 1 bedroom (2 person bedspaces) flat = 50 sqm
- No. 3 bedroom (5 person bedspaces) flat = 86 sqm

18. All proposed units would comply with or exceed these minimum standards.

19. As to internal floor to ceiling heights each of the storeys measures 2.5m throughout. As such, the proposals would be in compliance with Table 3.3 of the London Plan March 2016 (consolidated with alterations since 2011) which requires that new units achieve a minimum floor to ceiling height of 2.3m for at least 75% of the Gross Internal Area.

20. Overall, the residential accommodation proposed would provide a good standard of accommodation for future occupants of the proposed development.

Affordable Housing

21. Policy DM15 states that for proposals of 6 new residential units, 1 of these should be affordable. It also states that where this is not viable to be provided on site, that a financial contribution can be made in lieu of this.

22. However, this policy must be interpreted in the light of Paragraph 31 of the updated National Planning Practice Guidance (19/05/2016) which prevents the Local Planning Authority from requesting affordable housing from developments of 10 units or fewer, and which have a
maximum combined gross floorspace of no more than 1000sqm.

23. As such, no affordable housing contributions can be sought from this proposal.

Flood Risk

24. The application site falls within Flood Risk Zone 3a. In accordance with Paragraph 100 of the NPPF, 2012 and Policy DM4 of the LDF Core Strategy, 2012 the applicant has submitted a Flood Risk Assessment to address all sources of flooding, the future impact of climate change and take into account the SFRA, national guidance and good practice guidance.

25. Paragraph 103 of the NPPF, 2012 states that “When determining planning applications, local planning authorities should ensure flood risk is not increased elsewhere and only consider development appropriate in areas at risk of flooding where, informed by a site-specific flood risk assessment following the Sequential Test, and if required the Exception Test, it can be demonstrated that:

- within the site, the most vulnerable development is located in areas of lowest flood risk unless there are overriding reasons to prefer a different location; and
- development is appropriately flood resilient and resistant, including safe access and escape routes where required, and that any residual risk can be safely managed, including by emergency planning; and it gives priority to the use of sustainable drainage systems.

26. Paragraph 101 of the NPPF, 2012 refers to the Sequential Test and states that “The aim of the Sequential Test is to steer new development to areas with the lowest probability of flooding. Development should not be allocated or permitted if there are reasonably available sites appropriate for the proposed development in areas with a lower probability of flooding. The Strategic Flood Risk Assessment will provide the basis for applying this test. A sequential approach should be used in areas known to be at risk from any form of flooding.” In this case the proposal is considered to pass the Sequential Test, the site is previously developed and located in an established residential area with an existing residential use on site. For clarity it has been necessary to apply the Exception Test due to the site’s location in Flood Risk Zone 3a combined with the vulnerability classification of the proposed development (more vulnerable).

27. Paragraph 102 of the NPPF, 2012 refers to the Exception Test and states that “If, following application of the Sequential Test, it is not possible, consistent with wider sustainability objectives, for the development to be located in zones with a lower probability of flooding, the Exception Test can be applied if appropriate. For the Exception Test to be passed:
it must be demonstrated that the development provides wider sustainability benefits to the community that outweigh flood risk, informed by a Strategic Flood Risk Assessment where one has been prepared; and

- a site-specific flood risk assessment must demonstrate that the development will be safe for its lifetime taking account of the vulnerability of its users, without increasing flood risk elsewhere, and, where possible, will reduce flood risk overall.

Both elements of the test will have to be passed for development to be allocated or permitted.

28. The wider sustainability benefits to the community have been identified as the provision of new market dwellings which would make a positive contribution towards meeting the needs of the Borough.

29. A site specific Flood Risk Assessment (FRA) dated 28 November 2016 was submitted as part of the application.

30. The FRA has been considered by the Environment Agency, who have removed their previous objection to development on the site and do not consider that the development would cause any risk to flooding elsewhere or to future occupants for the lifetime of the proposed development. This is due to the commitments made by the applicant in the FRA with regards to mitigation measures. These include:

- Finished floor levels of the ground floor are set no lower than 10.28 m above Ordnance Datum (AOD);
- The successful implementation of a void storage area underneath the property that will act to expand the floodplain by a minimum volume of 31.5 m3
- The inclusion of SUDS measures as part of the final design (such as water butts/permeable paving/green roofs as specified within the FRA), in order to limit the surface water discharge of the site to 5 ls-1

And will be secured via condition.

31. Subject to the imposition of suitable planning conditions the proposed development would be flood resistant and resilient, would provide safe access and egress in a flood event and would not exacerbate flooding elsewhere. As such, the proposal is considered to be acceptable and compliant with Paragraphs 101-103 of the NPPF and Policy DM4 of the LDF Core Strategy, 2012.

Impact on Character of Area

32. Together the NPPF, 2012 and the London Plan, 2016 (consolidated with alterations since 2011) operate to secure development of a high quality and where applicable replace poor design with better design.

33. At the regional policy level Policy 3.5 of the London Plan, 2016
(consolidated with alterations since 2011) states that housing developments should be the highest quality internally, externally, and in relation to their context and to the wider environment. The design of all new housing should enhance the quality of local places, taking into account physical context and local character.

34. Local policies CS8 and DM10 of the LDF Core Strategy, 2012 support the regional position and require that proposals relate well to their surroundings, recognise distinctive local features and be of a high standard to achieve a more attractive, sustainable and accessible environment.

35. The proposal involves the construction of a 3-storey flatted development measuring 8.89m in height. In terms of design it would be a mixture of contemporary, Victorian and Edwardian styles and feature a curved southern elevation wall and undercroft to the rear. The roof would be of a mansard form and incorporate a living surface on top. With regards to glazing, windows are proposed in all elevations, but are larger and more traditional in style on the front, northern and rear elevations. They include 3 bay windows at ground floor level fronting Villiers Road and sliding sash windows on the first floor. The two narrow rectangular windows in the southern elevation would contrast in style and be narrow, rectangular and of a more contemporary design.

36. With regards to materials the proposed walls would be finished in a combination of brick, render and wooden vertical cladding and the mansard roof would be finished in vertically hung tiles. However, little detail has been provided with regards to the materials proposed for other aspects of the roof, the doors, windows and/or boundary treatment. This information will be required via condition so that materials are of a suitable quality and specification to ensure a satisfactory appearance. In addition, details of the planting species, planting schedule and maintenance of the living roof will also be required via condition to ensure that the finished appearance of the dwelling is sympathetic to the host property as well as those within the immediate vicinity.

37. Overall, the proposed design is considered to be an improvement on that previously refused under application 16/12226/FUL and is of a height and design which is more in keeping with surrounding residential character, particularly the flatted development at Winery Lane. The known materials are also considered to be appropriate for the predominantly residential context and thereby comply with Policy Guidance 25 and 26 of the Residential Design SPD, 2013 and Core Strategy policies CS8 and DM10.

Impact on Neighbours' Residential Amenity


39. More specifically, Policy DM10 of the LDF Core Strategy, 2012 seeks to safeguard residential amenity with regards to privacy, outlook,
sunlight/daylight, avoidance of visual intrusion and noise and disturbance.

40. In this case Nos. 61-65 Villiers Road and King Athelstan Primary School are the principal properties to be considered. Despite an increase in the built form across the site it is considered that there would not be an adverse impact upon the amenity of any of the aforementioned properties.

41. In terms of separation distances Policy Guidance 31 of the Residential Design SPD, 2013 advises that a distance of at least 21m should be maintained between the facing habitable room windows of residential properties. The distances between the application site and 61-65 Villiers Road have been calculated to measure between approximately 19m and 21m. Despite this distance falling slightly short of the Council’s requirements in some cases, there would not be a significant negative impact in terms of privacy and overlooking, particularly as a highway separates the properties and the existing prevailing pattern of development on Villiers Road is one characterised by housing developments both sides of the highway, separated by a distance of between 18.5m and 31m. As to the windows in the southern elevation which face the main part of the King Athelstan Primary School site they all relate to narrow, obscured, fixed windows and those in the rear elevation would not be at a height and angle to overlook the detached school building behind. The windows proposed in the north elevation would look directly onto the school car park and cycle storage facility and therefore would not cause any harm to residential properties.

42. It is not considered that there will be an unacceptable loss of daylight/sunlight to either the neighbouring residential properties, or the adjacent school. Due to the separation distances between buildings the height of the 3-storey development is considered appropriate and in keeping with the height of nearby flatted developments on Villiers Road.

43. With regards to noise and disturbance the proposed development would not cause harm to the amenity of Nos. 61-65 Villiers Road or King Athelstan Primary School above and beyond what is already associated with the existing school use. It should be emphasised that the proposal would be for a residential use in a predominantly residential area which is considered entirely appropriate.

44. In terms of private amenity space for future occupants, the Planning, Design and Access Statement dated June 2016 and submitted by the applicant states that 118sqm of private amenity space would be provided on site. This would be located partly to the front of the development, but predominantly to the rear within the undercroft. Part (h) of Policy DM10 of the LDF Core Strategy, 2012 states that development proposals should ensure adequate private and/or communal amenity space. In support of this stance Policy Guidance 13 of the Residential Design SPD, 2013 requires that new flats provide at least 10sqm of private amenity space per dwelling, plus 1sqm of extra
floorspace per additional occupant. Therefore, the total requirement for the development would be 65sqm. This has been significantly exceeded. As to the quality of the proposed amenity space, it is acknowledged that the space to the rear of the development is not high due to its limited natural light and sense of enclosure beneath the undercroft. However, in this case the proximity of the Athelstan Recreation Ground which is within 200m of the site is acknowledged as having the potential to provide more generous and open amenity space and mitigate any concerns over quality.

45. Overall, the proposal complies with Policy DM10 of the LDF Core Strategy, 2012 as they will not have an adverse impact upon residential amenity.

Highways & Parking

46. Policies DM9 and DM10 of the LDF Core Strategy seek to ensure that new development has regard to local traffic conditions and does not contribute to congestion or compromise highway safety.

47. The site has a Public Transport Accessibility Level of 1b (Very Poor) and falls within the Grove Area G1 Controlled Parking Zone (CPZ).

48. As the development falls within a CPZ the proposed nil car parking provision would be considered acceptable and in accordance with policies DM9 and DM10 of the LDF Core Strategy, 2012 subject to the signing of a legal agreement. The legal agreement would car cap the development, preclude future occupants from obtaining on-street car parking permits and stipulate a requirement to inform potential buyers/tenants of the above exclusion, as well as publicise the lack of parking provision in sales brochures. For clarity the family sized unit would be exempt from car capping restrictions.

49. It should be noted that the applicant has agreed to enter into such an agreement should the Committee resolve to grant planning permission.

50. In addition, the Council’s Highways Officer has stated that the proposal would deem the existing crossover to the front of the property redundant and that it must be reinstated as footway at the applicant(s) expense. This aspect will be secured via condition.

51. The information provided in the application states that 7 cycle parking spaces are proposed. According to the standards set out in Table 6.3 of the London Plan March 2016 (consolidated with alterations since 2011)6 cycle parking spaces are required. Therefore, the proposal meets and exceeds the requirements. Although, to ensure that the proposals fully comply with Policies DM9 and DM10 of the LDF Core Strategy and the Sustainable Transport SPD, 2013 further information will be sought via condition with regards to the style and siting of cycle storage.
Trees

52. The proposal involves the removal of a soft landscaped area including trees and shrubs. This loss can be mitigated by replacement planting and secured by way of a planning condition.

Legal Agreements

53. The applicant has agreed to enter into a legal agreement to car cap the development, preclude future occupants from obtaining on-street car parking permits and inform potential buyers/tenants of the above exclusion and to publicise the lack of parking provision in sales brochures should the Committee resolve to grant planning permission. For clarity the family sized unit would be exempt from car capping.

54. Subject to the signing of this legal agreement the proposal comply with policies DM9 and DM10 of the LDF Core Strategy, 2012.

Sustainability

55. Policies 5.1 and 5.2 of the London Plan, 2016 (consolidated with alterations since 2011) seek to achieve an overall reduction in London's carbon dioxide emissions through a range of measures including using less energy, supplying energy efficiently and using renewable energy, improving on Building Regulations targets by 25% in the period 2010-2013.

56. In addition, Policy CS1 of the LDF Core Strategy, 2012 states that the Council will ensure that all development (including extensions, refurbishments and conversions) is designed and built to make the most efficient use of resources, reduce its lifecycle impact on the environment and contribute to climate change mitigation and adaptation. It should be noted that despite Policy DM1’s requirements which encourage residential developments to achieve Code for Sustainable Homes (CfSH) Level 6 from 2016, the Code was revoked as part of the Housing Standards Review in 2015 and no longer applies. However, elements of the code were incorporated in the building regulations.

57. In this case the Design and Access Statement dated June 2016 includes some information pertaining to water and energy efficiency measures. However, it does not indicate the specific levels of efficiency measured against building regulations. As such, a detailed condition is recommended by the Council's Sustainability Officer to ensure current standards are met.

58. On the basis that these sustainability standards are secured by condition, the proposal is considered to comply with Policies DM1 of the
Conclusion

59. In conclusion, Officers acknowledge that whilst the proposed development would not provide the required number of family sized units according to LDF Core Strategy Policy DM13 (which in this case would require 1.8 rounded up to 2) and that the proposed private amenity space provision is of limited functionality due to its location primarily within the development’s undercroft, these disbenefits are on balance outweighed the benefit of providing 5 additional market dwellings and the site’s close proximity to public open space in the form of the Athelstan Recreation Ground and the Fairfield Recreation Ground. As such the proposals are considered to comply with the London Plan, 2015 (as amended), the LDF Core Strategy, 2012 and the Council’s Residential Design Guide, 2013.

Other Material Considerations

60. N/A

Recommendation:

Approve subject to the following conditions:

1. Approve subject to the completion of a legal agreement as specified in the legal agreements section above and the following condition(s):

2. The development hereby permitted shall be commenced within 3 years from the date of this decision.
   Reason: In order to comply with Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act, 1990. (As amended)

3. The development hereby permitted shall be carried out in accordance with the following approved plans:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plan Description</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site Level Survey</td>
<td>15/06/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GS-2685662 Groundsure Floodinsight</td>
<td>15/06/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arboricultural Implications Assessment</td>
<td>15/06/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning, Design and Access Statement part 1</td>
<td>22/06/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning, Design and Access Statement part 2</td>
<td>22/06/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tree Report</td>
<td>19/07/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contextual Analysis</td>
<td>19/09/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Document Title</td>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGI Long Views</td>
<td>20/09/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existing Streetscene</td>
<td>29/09/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Streetscene</td>
<td>19/09/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flood Risk Assessment December 2016</td>
<td>02/12/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site and Block Plans</td>
<td>02/12/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Elevations Plan</td>
<td>02/12/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Sections Plan</td>
<td>02/12/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross Section Plan</td>
<td>02/12/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Ground Floor and First Floor Plan</td>
<td>10/01/2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Second Floor and Roof Plan</td>
<td>10/01/2017</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reason: For avoidance of doubt and in the interests of proper planning.

4. The development shall be completed in accordance with the following details which shall have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority, before the development commences.

(a) materials for all external finishes (including their colour and texture).
(b) boundary treatment, (including walls, fences, gates, access and egress pathway along with levels (thereof) and materials).
(c) refuse storage facilities (in accordance with the requirements of the Residential Design SPD, 2013.
(d) sewer and drainage runs.
(e) any external plant and equipment.

Reason: These details are required prior to commencement of development because the details would affect subsequent design of other elements of the scheme and must be agreed at the outset and to ensure a satisfactory appearance on completion of the development in accordance with Policy DM10 (Design Requirements for New Developments including House Extensions) of the LDF Core Strategy Adopted April 2012.

5. No development shall commence until a landscaping scheme including including the management and maintenance of the living roof shall have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The approved scheme shall be implemented within the first planting season following completion of the development and the tree planting and landscaping shall thereafter be maintained for five years to the satisfaction of the Local Planning Authority. Any trees or shrubs which die during this period shall be replaced in the first available planting season, and the area shown to be landscaped shall be permanently retained for that purpose only.

Reason: These details are required prior to commencement of
development because the details would affect the subsequent design of other elements of the scheme and must be agreed at the outset and in the interests of visual amenity and also that the Local Planning Authority shall be satisfied as to the details of the development in accordance with Policy DM10 (Design Requirements for New Developments including House Extensions) of the LDF Core Strategy Adopted April 2012.

6 All works on site shall take place in accordance with the following details which shall have previously been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority prior to the commencement of work:

(a) Provision for loading/unloading materials.
(b) Temporary site access.
(c) Signing system for works traffic.
(d) Measures for the laying of dust, suppression of noise and abatement of other nuisance arising from development works.
(e) Means of enclosure of the site.
(f) Wheel washing equipment.
(g) The parking of vehicles of the site operatives and visitors
(h) The erection and maintenance of security hoarding.

Reason: These details are required prior to commencement of development because the relevant works would take place at the beginning of the construction phase and in order to safeguard the amenities of the surrounding residential occupiers and to safeguard highway safety and the free flow of traffic in accordance with Policy DM10 (Design Requirements for New Developments including House Extensions) of the LDF Core Strategy Adopted April 2012.

7 The development hereby permitted shall not be commenced until details of secure cycle parking facilities (for 7 bicycles) for the occupants of, and visitors to, the development hereby approved have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. These facilities shall be fully implemented and made available for use prior to the occupation of the development hereby permitted and shall thereafter be retained for use at all times.

Reason: These details are required prior to commencement of development because the details would affect subsequent design of other elements of the scheme and must be agreed at the outset and to ensure the provision of satisfactory cycle storage facilities and in the interests of highway safety in accordance with Policy DM8 (Sustainable Transport for New Developments) of the LDF Core Strategy Adopted April 2012.

8 Within 3 months of first occupation, evidence must be submitted to the Council confirming that the development hereby permitted has achieved no less than the C02 reductions (ENE1), internal water usage (WAT1)
standards equivalent to Code for Sustainable Homes Level 4. Evidence requirements are detailed in the "Schedule of Evidence Required for Post Construction Stage from ENE1 and WAT1 of the Code for Sustainable Homes Guide. Evidence to demonstrate a 19% reduction compared to 2013 Part L regulations and internal water usage rates of 105L/day must be submitted to the Local Planning Authority, unless otherwise agreed in writing.

Reason: In order to ensure that all development is designed and built to make the most efficient use of resources, reduce its lifecycle impact on the environment and contributes to climate change mitigation and adaptation in accordance with Policy CS1 (Climate Change Mitigation) of the LDF Core Strategy Adopted April 2012.

9 The development permitted by this planning permission shall be carried out in accordance with the approved Flood Risk Assessment (FRA) by What's The Flood Risk Date: 28th November 2016, Reference WTFR-DS-2016-06-Q25 and the following mitigation measures detailed within the FRA:

1. Finished floor levels of the ground floor are set no lower than 10.28 m above Ordnance Datum (AOD), as stated in the FRA.

2. The successful implementation of a void storage area underneath the property that will act to expand the floodplain by a minimum volume of 31.5 m³. Detailed designs will need to be provided at a later stage demonstrating this before the condition can be discharged.

3. The inclusion of SUDS measures as part of the final design (such as water butts/permeable paving/green roofs as specified within the FRA), in order to limit the surface water discharge of the site to 5 l/s-1.

Reason: In the interests of sustainability and energy conservation in accordance with Policies 5.2 (Minimising Carbon Dioxide Emissions) and 5.3 (Sustainable Design & Construction) of the London Plan 2016 (consolidated with alterations since 2011) and Policy DM1 (Sustainable Design and Construction Standards) of the LDF Core Strategy Adopted April 2012.

10 No development shall take place until details of the implementation, adoption, maintenance and management of a sustainable drainage system have been submitted to and approved in writing by the local planning authority. The system shall be implemented and thereafter managed and maintained in accordance with the approved details. Those details shall include a timetable for its implementation, and a management and maintenance plan for the lifetime of the development which shall include the arrangements for adoption by any public body or statutory undertaker, or any other arrangements to secure the effective operation of the sustainable drainage system throughout its lifetime.

Reason: These details are required prior to commencement of development because the relevant works would take place at the beginning of the construction phase and to prevent the increased risk of
flooding in accordance with Policy CS1 (Climate Change Mitigation) of the Local Development Framework Core Strategy Adopted April 2012.

This planning permission approves relates to No. 6 units as set out in plans 16A029/PL02 Rev D and 16A029/PL03 Rev A. Any conflict arising from any document or plan in terms of the number and type of units permitted shall be resolved in favour of 16A029/PL02 Rev D and 16A029/PL03 Rev A.

Reason: For avoidance of doubt and in the interests of proper planning.

Informative(s)

1 Your attention is drawn to the need to comply with the relevant provisions of the Building Regulations, the Building Acts and other related legislation. These cover such works as - the demolition of existing buildings, the erection of a new building or structure, the extension or alteration to a building, change of use of buildings, installation of services, underpinning works, and fire safety/means of escape works. Notice of intention to demolish existing buildings must be given to the Council’s Building Control Service at least 6 weeks before work starts. A completed application form together with detailed plans must be submitted for approval before any building work is commenced.

2 When undertaking demolition and/or building work, please be considerate to your neighbours and do not undertake work before 8am or after 6pm Monday to Friday, before 8am or after 1pm on a Saturday or at any time on Sundays or Bank Holidays. Furthermore, please ensure that all vehicles associated with the construction of the development hereby approved are properly washed and cleaned to prevent the passage of mud and dirt onto the adjoining highway. You are advised that the Council does have formal powers to control noise and nuisance under The Control of Pollution Act 1974, the Clean Air Acts and other relevant legislation. For further information and advice, please contact - Environmental Health Department Pollution Section.

3 Your attention is drawn to the fact that planning permission does not override property rights and any ancient rights of light that may exist. This permission does not empower you to enter onto land not in your ownership without the specific consent of the owner. If you require further information or advice, you should consult a solicitor.

4 Any vehicular crossing must be constructed and any redundant crossing reinstated as footway in accordance with the provisions of the Highways Act, 1980 by the Service Director (Planning & Transportation) (Highways),
Directorate of Environmental Services, Guildhall II, Kingston upon Thames.
Kingston Town Neighbourhood Committee
25 January 2017

Park Road review of traffic management measures
Report by Head of Environment

Purpose
This report outlines the result of the traffic speed survey, following the implementation of traffic calming measures along Park Road and seeks approval for public consultation on a 20mph speed limit along Park Road, between Kingston Hill and Tudor Drive.

Recommendations
It is recommended, based on the result of speed and volume surveys on Park Road, that

1. The committee approves a public consultation on a 20mph speed limit along Park Road between Kingston Hill and Tudor Drive (as shown in Annex 1 and Annex 2); and
2. The scheme is approved for implementation, subject to no substantial objections being received.

Key Points

A. Following this Committee’s resolution in February 2015, Park Road residents between Kings Road and Latchmere Road were consulted in June 2015 on various traffic management proposals.

B. The consultation showed that residents supported the introduction of a raised zebra crossing and retention of temporary yellow lines until nearby building works were complete.

C. Following this Committee’s resolution in October 2015, it was decided that consideration at a future meeting would be given to the introduction of a 20mph speed limit in Park Road. This was subject to analysing the effects of the new raised zebra crossing / traffic calming measures, and results obtained from recent speed and volume surveys.

D. This report outlines the result of the speed survey and seeks members approval for a 20 mph speed limit along Park Road, between Kingston Hill and Tudor Drive.

Context

1. A public meeting was held on 25 November 2014 with residents of Park Road (between Kings Road and Latchmere Road) and Tudor and Canbury Ward members to discuss traffic management issues in this section of Park Road.
2. Following this Committee’s resolution in February 2015, Park Road residents between Kings Road and Latchmere Road were consulted in June 2015 on various traffic management measures.

Proposal and Options

3. Taking account of the previous Committees resolutions, and the results of the recent traffic speed survey, it is proposed to consult residents on the introduction of a new 20mph speed limit in Park Road between Kingston Hill and Tudor Drive.

Timescale

4. Subject to this Committee’s approval, and no substantial objections being received, it is proposed that the works, as shown in Annex 1, and Annex 2 are implemented within this financial year.

Resource Implications

5. The cost of implementing this scheme would be in the region of £5,000 which will be met from the Local Implementation Programme (LIP) for 2016/17.

Legal Implications

6. A Traffic Management Order for the introduction of a 20mph speed limit, under Section 84 of the Road Traffic Regulations Act 1984, will be advertised, if approval is granted. If any objections are received these will be presented to a future meeting of this Committee for consideration.

Equalities Impact Assessment

7. A separate EQIA is not needed, as there is a generic assessment for the LIP.

Network Implications

8. There are no network implications arising from this report.

Environmental Implications

9. It is considered that the proposed scheme will have positive impact in reducing speed in Park Road.

Background papers

Kingston Town Neighbourhood Committee October 2015 - Minutes
Copy of local consultation
Speed survey data

held by author - Younes Hamade
Author of report - Younes Hamade, Project Engineer, Kingston Town Neighbourhood, tel.020 8547 5922, e-mail: younes.hamade@kingston.gov.uk
Kingston Town Neighbourhood Committee
25 January 2017
St James Road traffic management improvements
Report by Head of Environment

Purpose
This report outlines highway improvement proposals for St James Road, which include a new humped zebra crossing.

Recommendations
To Resolve that:
The schemes along St James Road shown in Annex 1 be approved for implementation, subject to a local public consultation with affected residents, businesses and key stakeholders, and there being no strong objections being received.

Key Points
A. The purpose of the proposal for St James Road is to provide a new formal pedestrian crossing outside the entrance toward the Guildhall complex and Surrey County Court.
B. Since the highway changes put in place in this road in 2010, it has been observed that when the loading bay near the junction of St James Road / Eden Street is occupied with heavy good vehicles, pedestrians find it difficult to cross St James Road. Vehicles in the loading bay regularly block the sightlines for pedestrians and make it difficult to judge when there is clear opportunity to cross. Therefore the proposed scheme will address this issue, the proposed raised zebra crossing will slow traffic down further, and assist all road users crossing this road safely.
C. This report outlines these proposed further highway improvements to St James Road, and seeks members approval on the way forward.

Context
1. Transport for London (TfL) has allocated funding for walking improvements measures in St. James Road. This road is used by a large number of people as it provides a key route connecting with Kingston Town Centre from the south.

2. St. James Road provides links to/from Kingston Council offices, Kingston College, Kingston University, the Crown Court, and 3 major multi storey car parks, Surrey County Council and other land users with Kingston Station via
the town centre. It is also a heavily used route during the lunchtime breaks on daily basis during the week.

3. The volume of pedestrians using St James Road during peak hours is in the region of 800 -1200 per hour. The high volume of pedestrians movements using this street is attributed to this link being the most direct and shortest route to major shopping destinations in the town centre and Kingston Station.

4. The purpose of these proposals is to improve road user satisfaction, enhance streetscapes, improve the perception of urban realm and develop shared space initiatives and bring and maintain all assets to a state of good repair.

Proposal and Options

5. In order to improve the crossing facilities along St James Road for pedestrian movements outside Guildhall and County Court, with minimum impact on traffic flow, it is proposed to introduce raised zebra crossing that will allow more facilities for pedestrians to cross the road safely.

6. It is also proposed to introduce kerb build out at the north side, that will address the poor visibility as a result of heavy goods vehicles within the existing loading bay, together with speed table and formal zebra crossing, to assist wheelchair and other road users.

Consultations

7. If the measures set out in Annex 1 are approved by the Neighbourhood Committee, a public consultation will be undertaken, including key stakeholders to discuss the scheme elements that affect them, in order to help maximise the benefit of the improvements.

Timescale

8. Subject to approval, it is anticipated that the consultation will be undertaken in February 2017. Subject to there being no substantial objections the various elements of the works will be programmed to commence this financial year.

Resource Implications

9. It is suggested that these works will be funded from the Walking budget in the 2016/2017 Local Implementation Plan (LIP), and it is anticipated that the scheme will cost £15k -£20k.

Legal Implications

10. The only works with legal implications at this stage relate to the publication of those works, a notice will be published under Section 23 Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984. And any specific legal implications will be considered again following the consultation.
**Risk Assessment**

11. The scheme proposals are to be partially funded using LIP funding, where the requirement is for funding to be spent in year. Any works not delivered could result in LIP allocation having to be returned to Transport for London.

**Equalities Impact Assessment**

12. There is an overarching EQIA in place that covers LIP Schemes, and as such individual assessments are not required. This proposal has potential to benefit both pedestrians and cyclists as they can both travel safely and separately from motor vehicles.

**Network Implications**

13. The proposals primarily affect the footways and as such there will be little overall network impact. There will be some disruption to the network for a short period during the construction of any approved works.

**Environmental Implications**

14. It is anticipated that the local improvements to the footway and pedestrian crossing in the area will provide an improved environment.

**Background papers -** None

**Author of the report - Younes Hamade**, Senior Professional Engineer, Kingston and Surbiton Neighbourhoods, younes.hamade@kingston.gov.uk tel 020 8547 5922
Kingston Town Neighbourhood Committee
25 January 2017

Neighbourhood Councillor Ward and Discretionary Funding update
Report by Manager Kingston Town and Maldens & Coombe

**Purpose**
To present the report on the expenditure on new Ward Fund and the discretionary fund from carried forward underspends.

**Recommendations**
To **Resolve** that -
1. The Committee notes the expenditure to date; and
2. The Committee considers re-allocation of any sums not yet committed.

**Key Points**
A. Councillors’ Ward Funding was introduced on 1st April 2016 as part of the Communities Base Budget to enable local Councillors to award flexible, small and timely funds for local initiatives within their Ward.

B. In setting the budget, each Councillor has an annual allocation of funds set at £2,000 per Councillor which they can spend on local community initiatives.

C. The 6 September 2016 Neighbourhood Committee resolved to allocate its carried forward underspends as £12,936 per Ward.

D. Councillors are requested to allocate the balance of their Ward Funding by 31st January 2017.

**Ward and Discretionary Funding to date**
1. The following allocations have been agreed with Councillors:

**Ward Funding £2,000 each**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ward</th>
<th>Councillor</th>
<th>Allocation</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Canbury</td>
<td>Geoff Austin</td>
<td>Kings Road, Park Road Hanging Baskets</td>
<td>£2,000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Andrea Craig</td>
<td>Christmas Trees</td>
<td>£400*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>North Kingston Forum (split with Tudor Ward)</td>
<td>£250*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Manorgate Road Supply of plants</td>
<td>£600*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ward</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Project Description</td>
<td>Amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skerne Road</td>
<td></td>
<td>Supplement existing planting, more colours</td>
<td>£500*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Play Streets</td>
<td>£250*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>David Glasspool</td>
<td>V2 Memorial Kings Road</td>
<td>£2,000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>* All Canbury Ward Funding agreed and shared by Canbury Ward Councillors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grove</td>
<td>Phil Doyle</td>
<td>Grove awaiting outcome of Market Fountain. Officer meeting 5/1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rebekah Moll</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jon Tolley</td>
<td>Ancient Market Drinking Fountain</td>
<td>Balance see below</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norbiton</td>
<td>Bill Brisbane</td>
<td>Stained glass roundels in Kingston Cemetery</td>
<td>£1,150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Supplement to KCAH Night Shelter award</td>
<td>£850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Linsey Cottington</td>
<td>CRERA After School Club</td>
<td>£100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Neighbourhood Watch signs and stickers</td>
<td>£332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Support for Norbiton Avenue community event</td>
<td>£220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CREst community event</td>
<td>£170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Contribution to Moving on Together Xmas Party</td>
<td>£200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sheila Griffin</td>
<td>Trees in Chatham Road</td>
<td>£1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Kingston Cemetery ‘Little Squirrels’ childrens’ burial section</td>
<td>£900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CREst Christmas Gala</td>
<td>£100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tudor</td>
<td>David Cunningham</td>
<td>Banner(s) for Canbury Bandstand - to be hung from bandstand during summer bandstand concerts</td>
<td>£500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Street trees</td>
<td>£1,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maria Netley</td>
<td>Anstee House Nutrition Program</td>
<td>£1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hugh Scantlebury</td>
<td>Anstee House General Programme Support</td>
<td>£1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Canbury Parade, Richmond Road Union Flags Part 2 (new season of flags for 2017)</td>
<td>£1,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Discretionary Funding £12,936 each

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ward</th>
<th>Allocation</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Canbury</td>
<td>Canbury Xmas trees above shops</td>
<td>£435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hanging Baskets</td>
<td>£310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>V2 Memorial bedding, landscaping &amp; Bench</td>
<td>£2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Balance for Park Road Housing Project</td>
<td>£10,191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grove</td>
<td>Ancient Market Drinking Fountain</td>
<td>£14,441</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norbiton</td>
<td>Coombe Road Footway Improvement</td>
<td>£10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KCAH Feb-March 2017 Night Shelter</td>
<td>£3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tudor</td>
<td>Hawker Estate Residents’ Assoc start up</td>
<td>£250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>North Kingston Forum (½ share with Canbury)</td>
<td>£250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tree skirts trial (similar to the ones outside Cakewalk on the Canbury Parade)</td>
<td>£2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pocket Park (Tudor Drive / Richmond Road) clear up</td>
<td>£2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Christmas Trees for Canbury Parade, Richmond Road</td>
<td>£2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Proper infilling of vacant street tree pits with an appropriate and robust planting of a sensible variety</td>
<td>£6,400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Additional bids are in the pipeline and are currently being discussed with Councillors and Officers.

### Timescale

3. The Ward Funding programme was introduced from 1st April 2016 and runs throughout the financial year or until individual Ward funds are exhausted. The Discretionary Funds were allocated at 6 September Neighbourhood Committee

4. Allocations need to be agreed by 31 January and the spend completed by 31 March 2017.

### Resource Implications

5. There are no resource implications to these allocations which will need to be completed within existing resources.
Legal Implications

6. None arising from the report.

Risk Assessment

7. Risk Assessments are not required for the funding programme but some funded activities may require risk assessment by the individual organisations managing the activity.

Equalities Impact Assessment (EQIA)

8. The funding streams described in this Report are open to all and any EQIAs or equality considerations would be expected to be included in funding requests with constituted organisations required to demonstrate that they have an Equalities policy.

Network Implications

9. There are unlikely to be any network implications from these proposals but, if there are, appropriate action will be taken with processes and in association with relevant Officers.

Environmental Implications

10. The opportunity exists to allocate these funds to projects which will improve the local environment for the community.

Background papers held by author of the report - Neville Rainford, Manager Kingston and Maldens & Coombe neville.rainford@kingston.gov.uk tel: 020 8547 4625
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