

ANNEX 3

Objections to TMO KingMap0051 for Foxglove Lane (redacted)

- Parking spaces are limited [12]
- DYL proposal for whole length is unnecessary [4]
- Possible displacement of parking onto adjacent roads [2]
- Waste collection requirements only one day a week [2]
- Commuter parking for industrial estate [2]
- No experience of access issues for larger vehicles [1]
- Possibility of illicit parking in reserved spaces [1]
- Possibility of speeding due to widening of space on road [1]
- Security concerns with parking locations [2]
- Lack of consultation [1]
- Disability issues [1]

This is a ridiculous proposal. in Foxglove lane parking spaces are limited and to introduce double yellow lines along one side would cause utter chaos. I'm assuming this is probably related to the bin men moaning about restrictive width. I've owned a property here for 13 years and the only issue I've seen with the rubbish collection is residents like myself cleaning up after them. If this is the only issue I'm sure an alternative solution can be found for that one day a week rather than banishing tax paying residents from parking in their road 24/7.

- Parking spaces are limited [1]
- Waste collection requirements only one day a week [1]

"I am a resident of Foxglove lane and I am writing to formally oppose the plans to extend the double yellow lines in the road.

I have lived here for 7 years and over the years this road has got busier making it hard to park my vehicle as it is.

Extending the double yellow lines will make demand for the available space even more painful. I do not wish to have to park my vehicle streets away from my home."

- Parking spaces are limited [2]

"I am writing to you in regards to the proposal for adding double yellow lines to sections of Foxglove Lane, Chessington, KT9 1QB.

Please do not go ahead with this proposal - there is already a shortage of car parking for residents on Foxglove Lane. Having double yellow lines will hugely impact residents who will need to use neighbouring streets to park.

If the issue relates to bin men needing access and to turn their vehicle, surely a better solution could be found specific to bin day only rather than this proposal which will impact residents 24/7.

Please add my name to the objection against this proposal. "

- Parking spaces are limited [3]
 - Waste collection requirements only one day a week [2]
-

"I am writing to oppose the proposed lengthening of an existing double yellow line "at any time" waiting restriction (from the side of Nos. 43/44 to outside Nos. 31/32) in Foxglove Lane, Chessington, as set out in the consultation document KingMap0051, for the following reasons:

I have lived at my current address in Foxglove Lane for X years, and in that time there has never been an issue with parking or access. It is primarily residents of the road who park their cars there and the number of cars parking in the road has not increased over the time I have lived here, which makes me question as to why this proposal is being made only now. The road has never been blocked due to the way residents park their cars, and those parking on the side of the road where the double yellow lines are proposed, always park considerately within the footway parking bay markings allowing access to all types of vehicles access along the whole road.

As someone who has been working from home over the past 14 months, I have noticed the road is noticeably quiet during the day as it is a cul-de-sac, and there is little traffic of any kind passing up and down. There is ample space for the refuse vehicles to reverse down the road, as well as any larger delivery lorries or emergency vehicles, which come very infrequently. The larger vehicles have always been able to drive or reverse down the full length of the road, and with the number of cars there during the day sometimes reduced, as some residents drive their cars to work or leave home for other reasons, there have never been any issues, particularly with refuse collection that I have noticed.

If the yellow lines are introduced, then this will create more problems.

I have a private off-road parking space outside my house which is part of my property, and although I have put a sign to prevent other people from parking there, I have concerns that anyone who normally parks on the road where the yellow lines are proposed will start trying to park in either my, or other residents' off-road parking spots when I, or they are away from home. Should this become a reality, it risks causing friction and animosity between neighbours when they park where they should not be, which in turn, would cause unnecessary discord between residents, and potentially complaints to the council and/or the police which I am sure you would wish to avoid. It would also make it difficult for any tradesmen, small delivery vehicles, or other short-term visitors to be able to park in the road, forcing them to park outside residents' houses in adjacent roads, if there were no spaces in Foxglove Lane.

Introducing yellow lines will also make the road less safe, as cars and other vehicles are more likely to drive faster down the road if the road is clear on one side, than at present, where vehicles drive more carefully, especially where the road bends to the right as you enter Foxglove Lane.

It is for the reasons aforementioned, that I strongly urge for this new double-yellow line proposal in relation to Foxglove Lane to be dropped. It seems completely unnecessary, and the justification provided to impose this doesn't seem proportionate to the measures being put in place.

If these comments are published on a public website, can you please anonymise them by not including my name or address details to comply with data protection?

I am also sending a copy of this objection by post. Please confirm receipt of this email."

- Parking spaces are limited [4]
- No experience of access issues for larger vehicles [1]
- Possibility of illicit parking in reserved spaces [1]
- Possibility of speeding due to widening of space on road [1]

"I am writing to raise an objection to the proposed removal of pavement parking in foxglove lane and replace them with double yellow lines.

This will reduce parking spaces by 4/5 and will mean cars taking spaces from the rest of the estate

I have lived in Lockwood Way for X years and slowly our parking spaces have been invaded by car from other parts of the estate.

Whilst I appreciate if you tax your car you can park where you like it is sometimes not easy to park near my house to unload my weekly shopping and at the age of X and some residents in there 80's it is a struggle. Also On nights when my daughter is on a late or night shift to come and not be able to park and have to walk back after finding a space in the dark is not nice

If you take away these spaces this will surely become worse. Thank you for your time and hopefully some other way to resolve will be found"

- Parking spaces are limited [5]
- Security concerns with parking locations [1]

"I am putting my objection in for the double yellow lines in foxglove lane. Parking in this road is bad enough as we have people parking in our in this road from neighbouring roads making impossible for the residents that live here park. I can understand putting yellow lines on the corner of Foxglove but not all the way down. Ref kingmap0051"

- Parking spaces are limited [6]
- DYL proposal for whole length is unnecessary [1]

"I am a resident of Foxglove lane and I would like to make my opinion noted that I strongly disagree with the proposed double yellow line to one side of the street. I feel this would restrict resident parking and cause congestion and parking issues to nearby residential roads.

I don't know why this been proposed, as I have no witness any issues or problems."

- Parking spaces are limited [7]

"My name is Xxx, a resident of X Chantry Road. I noticed your little A4 sheet tucked away on a lamppost down Foxglove Lane about the proposed change to the amount of double yellow lines on Foxglove lane surrounding the foot pathways.

I wish to formally object in writing to this proposed action as mentioned in point 2.G on the consultation documents, but from the point of view that other action must be taken to make this change as ""pain free"" for the residents of Foxglove Lane and Chantry Road as much as possible, rather than suggesting it be scrapped altogether.

An issue we have in the road is the amount of people that park on Chantry Road and in Foxglove Lane that do not live on these streets. Many of them park here just whilst they go to work on the Industrial Estate (I have seen it as I work from home).

This means that many residents, some who work from home like me, come back after a trip to the shops or to go out to find their space taken by someone working on the Industrial Estate, or all too often that delivery drivers dropping off packages taking up spaces just to drop a parcel off quickly.

These reasons lead to drivers having no reasonm, but to park in an undesirable location such as the pavement on Foxglove Lane, if they wish to have visibility of their car from their house or to be able to hear a car alarm go off if it is broken into from their house.

I know there are car parks behind the houses on Foxglove Lane and Chantry Road, but many have abandoned vehicles or old campervans on them and they are not particularly well lit. The fact they are also not viewable from their house is also a security concern.

If we could find a cost free way to stop temporary vehicles taking spaces for residents then that would mean the double yellow lines there would have less of an impact. Note not all of

us can afford to add driveways to our houses and pay for the privilege especially as some of my neighbours, including myself are first time buyers.

I do believe you have time to consider solving the above issues before proceeding with these proposed actions, as I am yet to see a bin truck hit a car (including mine which has parked there more frequently lately) or a car accident to occur in the road, but I appreciate you ultimately want to avoid the possibility of that altogether.

I hope the above is fair, and am happy to talk more about this."

- Parking spaces are limited [8]
- Security concerns with parking locations [2]
- Commuter parking for industrial estate [1]

"I currently live at X Chantry Road (opposite foxglove road in chessington) and have been made aware that there is a proposal going ahead to remove the curb side parking on this road. In replacement double yellow lines will be put in place.

I strongly advice and request for you to look further into this and consider the next steps. I only have the 1 car for my property and 4 of 5 week day evenings, have to park much further into chantry road, the industrial estate or even roebuck road. Places which are well out of sight from my home and very unsafe when carrying young children or heavy goods.

Sometimes even a 2-3 minute walk from my property.

I agree that up the curb parking is inconvenient for some residence however I think we should be reaching a solution to increase parking for residence only on chantry road & foxglove. This is because we often have many spaces taken by the industrial estate staff or residence with 3+ cars per household.

I would suggest taking some time to reconsider this and even take a look at the parking situations across a month period, monitoring the numbers of cars parking during daytime, evening and weekend periods. Potentially even monitoring how many cars per household."

- Parking spaces are limited [9]
- Commuter parking for industrial estate [2]

I rang the council and was told someone from Highways and Transport would ring me back to explain what you intend in terms of parking restrictions in Foxglove Lane. No one called so I am emailing to say I live at X Foxglove Lane and having re-checked my title deeds, I see I legally own the middle parking space in the bay directly outside my house. Can someone please confirm that you are not intending to remove this.

- request to confirm proposals

"With reference to the proposed removal of pavement parking and lengthening of existing double lines I would like this email noted as a formal objection.

As a resident of Foxglove Lane, I see the logic in extending the double yellow lines to include the corner of No1 and on the opposite side from the corner of 44,43 and 43 as parked cars parked incorrectly on the pavement could cause visibility issues in turning into and out of Foxglove Lane. Which could in turn impact emergency vehicles and pedestrian safety.

However I object to further extension of double yellow parking restrictions as I have never witnessed in my time in the property any difficulties past this curve of refuge collectors/emergency vehicles gaining access especially during working hours 9-5.

The proposal of 'at anytime waiting' restrictions is also out of balance with usage and over the top.

Please accept this as my objection to this proposal.

I would also like it noted that ""one"" notice pinned on a lamppost halfway down the road is not sufficient consultation with the residents of Foxglove Lane.

Parking is limited as it is and often used by residents of Chantry, taking away further parking will only add to this.

Please confirm receipt."

- Parking spaces are limited [10]
- DYL proposal for whole length is unnecessary [2]

"I wish to object to the proposal to extend double yellow lines further into Foxglove Lane for the reasons outlined below.

The removal of pavement parking will cause displacement into surrounding roads, particularly Chantry and Roebuck Roads. Pavement parking was introduced some years ago to provide extra space and the number of cars has increased rather than decreased since then. This area is typically used in the evening, as temporary overnight parking and, as such, is clear during the day in normal non-pandemic circumstances. From a personal safety point of view, it is, as I am sure you will agree, preferable to park as close to one's house as possible, particularly when coming home late at night.

There has been no public consultation or discussion on this matter before presenting it as almost a fait accompli. Notification is limited to two A4 notices in very small print, tied to the signposts indicating the start and end of pavement parking. Unless you are very close to these notices, you would not see them, particularly the one at the entrance to Foxglove Lane. The minimum that should be done is a leaflet drop to every house, as the knock-on effect of this proposal will impact all residents. I have found no one amongst my neighbours in favour of this proposal.

I understand a concern has been raised about emergency and refuse vehicles being unable to gain access. In nearly X years of living in Foxglove Lane I am aware of one isolated incident where the recycling lorry could not enter but that is all. I accept there may have been more that I am not aware of but if this proposal is based on conjecture rather than hard evidence it does seem rather over the top. I am told the council has carried out some observations; perhaps the results of these could be made available to residents.

The only area that I can see may cause such a problem is at the entrance to Foxglove Lane and not along the whole extent of the pavement parking. If indeed there have been more incidences of blocked access, may I suggest as a compromise that the double yellow lines be extended to cover one side of the narrowest part of the entrance to the road only, either outside number 1 or number 44, until the road widens out, leaving the rest of the pavement parking still available. This would reduce any possibility of blocked access whilst leaving the majority of the pavement parking intact. There may be other solutions to come out of discussions with residents.

In summary, this proposal will cause displacement parking into surrounding roads, is poorly thought out, based on little or no evidence of an existing problem to be solved, and residents have not been consulted. I therefore urge you to either amend or abandon the idea entirely. "

- Parking spaces are limited [11]
- Possible displacement of parking onto adjacent roads [1]
- DYL proposal for whole length is unnecessary [3]

"With reference to the above proposal I would like to lodge a formal objection.

Double yellow lines outside no 1 and no 44 is a logical consideration due to the angles of cars parked in Chantry. This would provide better visibility and turning out of Foxglove Lane into incoming traffic and access for emergency vehicles.

I object to any other extension of parking restrictions.

As a resident of Foxglove Lane, there has been no consultation with residents or sufficient notice, it was just by chance I heard of this proposal from any ther resident."

- DYL proposal for whole length is unnecessary [4]
- Lack of consultation

"I've noticed a few social media posts from residents complaining about this. Just wanted to add my voice.

I'm very much in favour of removing all pavement parking. Motorists entitlement to as much space as they like for basically nothing has gone way too far.

However, all measures to pry people away from their cars need some proper and realistic incentives to help lower private car use particularly for short journeys where walking and cycling should be the preferred option.

Having moved to KT9 X months ago, I've been disappointed with the complete lack of any cycling infrastructure, as well as a complete lack of car share schemes. Having lived in southwest London without a car for 5 years, car share schemes like getaround and ubeeqo worked brilliantly alongside zipcar, giving users easy access by the hour or day to new, clean and well maintained cars.

Meanwhile the a243 is a constant traffic jam. It takes me 14 minutes to cycle from malden rushett to Surbiton, but on fridays it takes me 35 minutes to drive my daughter to swim lessons for the same journey. What are you doing people to travel by car less to end this insane congestion and pollution?

Removing parking spaces is an important step, but pointless in isolation."

- Support but more general measures needed to promote sustainbale transport

"I object to the proposal.

I have seen no firm evidence of any difficulty over road width. People think they can prevent parking, but it doesn't - it just displaces it on to someone else down the road. It appears to be another example of Councillors acting on one or two residents, whilst ignoring the quiet majority. I know which party I will not be voting for next week."

- Possible displacement of parking onto adjacent roads [2]

"I am writing to OBJECT to lengthening the double yellow line from 43 to 31, Foxglove Lane, Chessington, Surrey.

I have lived at X Foxglove since X and the increase in cars and vans trying to park in Foxglove and Chantry Road is phenomenal, with so many houses having 2 or even 3 cars to each house, whatever the size of the dwelling; so reducing parking space further in Foxglove is a particularly staggering decision by the council. The number of vans of all shapes and sizes parking in both roads has dramatically increased in recent years, which reduces the number of cars which can park anyway.

I overlook the Chantry Road side of Foxglove and have no dedicated parking space in the recessed parts of Foxglove, but believe I have a parking spot in one of the small car parks situated at both ends of Foxglove; however neither of them are well lit, the bays are not marked as belonging to any particular house and on returning home from 10-12 hour work days in London, I already have trouble finding a parking space in Foxglove on the road or the car parks from 9pm onwards! The turning circle at the bottom of Foxglove is currently being used as a double parking space and were this to be properly policed, it would limit the number of parking spaces further.

I walk with a stick as I have osteo-arthritis in both knees and one ankle and have had my back bolted together as I have a chronic deteriorating disc condition. I therefore park as near

to my house as possible as moving is difficult, which means I park on the footway in Foxglove o/s 31/32. Even if I was lucky enough to be able to park in one of the car parks, the walk to my house after a long day which to an able bodied person is not far; to me it is excruciating as there is a slope whichever way I go. The parking in Chantry is as bad as it is in Foxglove, and it is rare I can find a parking space outside or near my house on any day of the week.

I have seen no issues with the refuse and recycling collecting lorries being able to access Foxglove, especially as they visit during the morning when the majority of cars have gone, but I do understand during the pandemic lockdowns it may have been more problematical, but no collections were missed and I have heard of no accidents when a refuse lorry has hit a parked car either, so the reasoning for extending the yellow lines is baffling.

One of the houses had a fire a few years ago, and access by the fire brigade was also not a problem at that time. Therefore it seems illogical to add significant pressure to the parking situation when there is no reason to do so.

I have been thinking of seeking a disabled badge and a disabled parking spot, but would hope to have it recessed into the grass outside my house overlooking Chantry Road; but until then and the necessary application procedure has been commenced, I need to make sure I can continue to park on the pavement o/s 31/32 Foxglove in order to assist my knees and back, which is why I object to the extension of the double yellow line in Foxglove."

- Parking spaces are limited [12]
 - Disability issues
-