

All Responses (This includes the portal, emails, paper questionnaires, drop-in sessions & chessington community college)											
Comment Theme			Agree (Including Strongly & Somewhat)		Neither Agree nor Disagree		Disagree or Strongly Disagree		Not Indicated		
No Comment.			150	8.2%	0	0.0%	101	5.5%	4	0.2%	
Disagrees with all stated benefits of the proposal.			9	0.5%	11	0.6%	285	15.6%	1	0.1%	
Disagrees with the stated air quality and/or sustainable transport benefits of the proposal.			7	0.4%	32	1.8%	165	9.1%	1	0.1%	
Disagrees with the stated speed reduction and/or safety benefits of the proposal.			11	0.6%	21	1.2%	119	6.5%	1	0.1%	
Considers that 20mph limit would need enforcement to be effective.			135	7.4%	16	0.9%	67	3.7%	4	0.2%	
Considers that 20mph limit should be kept to residential roads and not main roads.			37	2.0%	9	0.5%	144	7.9%	1	0.1%	
Comments agreeing with 20mph limit proposals, including requests for installation on specific roads.			154	8.4%	1	0.1%	3	0.2%	3	0.2%	
Comments indicating full support for the proposal.			242	13.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Other comments not relating to the questions asked in the consultation.			12	0.7%	16	0.9%	58	3.2%	3	0.2%	
Totals			1823	757	41.5%	106	5.8%	942	51.7%	18	1.0%
Kingston Town Neigh.											
Comment Theme			Agree (Including Strongly & Somewhat)		Neither Agree nor Disagree		Disagree or Strongly Disagree		Not Indicated		
No Comment.			39	10.9%	0	0.0%	16	4.5%	2	0.6%	
Disagrees with all stated benefits of the proposal.			2	0.6%	2	0.6%	46	12.8%	0	0.0%	
Disagrees with the stated air quality and/or sustainable transport benefits of the proposal.			3	0.8%	3	0.8%	36	10.0%	0	0.0%	
Disagrees with the stated speed reduction and/or safety benefits of the proposal.			6	1.7%	3	0.8%	13	3.6%	1	0.3%	
Considers that 20mph limit would need enforcement to be effective.			38	10.6%	3	0.8%	12	3.3%	2	0.6%	
Considers that 20mph limit should be kept to residential roads and not main roads.			11	3.1%	2	0.6%	34	9.5%	0	0.0%	
Comments agreeing with 20mph limit proposals, including requests for installation on specific roads.			24	6.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Comments indicating full support for the proposal.			46	12.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Other comments not relating to the questions asked in the consultation.			1	0.3%	8	2.2%	6	1.7%	0	0.0%	
Totals			359	170	47.4%	21	5.8%	163	45.4%	5	1.4%
Percentage Response Rate Compared To Total Households	17,731		2.0%	1.0%		0.1%		0.9%		0.03%	
Surbiton Neigh. Response											
Comment Theme			Agree (Including Strongly & Somewhat)		Neither Agree nor Disagree		Disagree or Strongly Disagree		Not Indicated		
No Comment.			43	10.4%	0	0.0%	33	8.0%	1	0.2%	
Disagrees with all stated benefits of the proposal.			1	0.2%	5	1.2%	54	13.0%	0	0.0%	
Disagrees with the stated air quality and/or sustainable transport benefits of the proposal.			0	0.0%	1	0.2%	24	5.8%	0	0.0%	
Disagrees with the stated speed reduction and/or safety benefits of the proposal.			2	0.5%	0	0.0%	19	4.6%	0	0.0%	
Considers that 20mph limit would need enforcement to be effective.			41	9.9%	4	1.0%	16	3.9%	0	0.0%	

Considers that 20mph limit should be kept to residential roads and not main roads.	6	1.4%	1	0.2%	35	8.5%	0	0.0%			
Comments agreeing with 20mph limit proposals, including requests for installation on specific roads.	32	7.7%	0	0.0%	1	0.2%	2	0.5%			
Comments indicating full support for the proposal.	72	17.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.2%			
Other comments not relating to the questions asked in the consultation.	0	0.0%	5	1.2%	15	3.6%	0	0.0%			
Totals		414	197	47.6%	16	3.9%	197	47.6%	4	1.0%	
Percentage Response Rate Compared To Total Households	16,691		2.48%	1.18%		0.10%		1.18%		0.02%	
Maldens & Coombe Neigh. Response											
Comment Theme			Agree (Including Strongly & Somewhat)		Neither Agree nor Disagree		Disagree or Strongly Disagree		Not Indicated		
No Comment.			33	8.1%	0	0.0%	26	6.4%	1	0.2%	
Disagrees with all stated benefits of the proposal.			2	0.5%	1	0.2%	69	17.0%	1	0.2%	
Disagrees with the stated air quality and/or sustainable transport benefits of the proposal.			0	0.0%	3	0.7%	41	10.1%	0	0.0%	
Disagrees with the stated speed reduction and/or safety benefits of the proposal.			3	0.7%	1	0.2%	26	6.4%	0	0.0%	
Considers that 20mph limit would need enforcement to be effective.			31	7.6%	2	0.5%	16	3.9%	0	0.0%	
Considers that 20mph limit should be kept to residential roads and not main roads.			7	1.7%	4	1.0%	37	9.1%	1	0.2%	
Comments agreeing with 20mph limit proposals, including requests for installation on specific roads.			36	8.8%	1	0.2%	0	0.0%	1	0.2%	
Comments indicating full support for the proposal.			44	10.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Other comments not relating to the questions asked in the consultation.			5	1.2%	1	0.2%	13	3.2%	1	0.2%	
Totals			407	161	39.6%	13	3.2%	228	56.0%	5	1.2%
Percentage Response Rate Compared To Total Households	18,076		2.25%	0.89%		0.07%		1.26%		0.03%	
South of the Borough Neigh. Response											
Comment Theme			Agree (Including Strongly & Somewhat)		Neither Agree nor Disagree		Disagree or Strongly Disagree		Not Indicated		
No Comment.			15	6.5%	0	0.0%	16	6.9%	0	0.0%	
Disagrees with all stated benefits of the proposal.			2	0.9%	2	0.9%	36	15.6%	0	0.0%	
Disagrees with the stated air quality and/or sustainable transport benefits of the proposal.			2	0.9%	23	10.0%	32	13.9%	1	0.4%	
Disagrees with the stated speed reduction and/or safety benefits of the proposal.			0	0.0%	9	3.9%	6	2.6%	0	0.0%	
Considers that 20mph limit would need enforcement to be effective.			11	4.8%	3	1.3%	15	6.5%	1	0.4%	
Considers that 20mph limit should be kept to residential roads and not main roads.			3	1.3%	0	0.0%	14	6.1%	0	0.0%	
Comments agreeing with 20mph limit proposals, including requests for installation on specific roads.			24	10.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Comments indicating full support for the proposal.			6	2.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Other comments not relating to the questions asked in the consultation.			2	0.9%	0	0.0%	7	3.0%	1	0.4%	
Totals			231	65	28.1%	37	16.0%	126	54.5%	3	1.3%
Percentage Response Rate Compared To Total Households	11,141		2.07%	0.58%		0.33%		1.13%		0.03%	
All Neighbourhoods											

Comment Theme	Agree (Including Strongly & Somewhat)		Neither Agree nor Disagree		Disagree or Strongly Disagree		Not Indicated			
No Comment.	130	9.2%	0	0.0%	91	6.4%	4	0.3%		
Disagrees with all stated benefits of the proposal.	7	0.5%	10	0.7%	205	14.5%	1	0.1%		
Disagrees with the stated air quality and/or sustainable transport benefits of the proposal.	5	0.4%	30	2.1%	133	9.4%	1	0.1%		
Disagrees with the stated speed reduction and/or safety benefits of the proposal.	11	0.8%	13	0.9%	64	4.5%	1	0.1%		
Considers that 20mph limit would need enforcement to be effective.	121	8.6%	12	0.9%	59	4.2%	3	0.2%		
Considers that 20mph limit should be kept to residential roads and not main roads.	27	1.9%	7	0.5%	120	8.5%	1	0.1%	8.50%	
Comments agreeing with 20mph limit proposals, including requests for installation on specific roads.	116	8.2%	1	0.1%	1	0.1%	3	0.2%		
Comments indicating full support for the proposal.	168	11.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%		
Other comments not relating to the questions asked in the consultation.	8	0.6%	14	1.0%	41	2.9%	3	0.2%		
Totals	1411	593	42.0%	87	6.2%	714	50.6%	17	1.2%	
Percentage Response Rate Compared To Total Households	63,639		2.22%	0.93%		0.14%		1.12%		0.03%
Non-Residents & Persons Who Regularly Visit, Work or Do Business in the Borough (Includes Not Stated)										
Comment Theme	Agree (Including Strongly & Somewhat)		Neither Agree nor Disagree		Disagree or Strongly Disagree		Not Indicated			
No Comment.	20	4.9%	0	0.0%	10	2.4%	0	0.0%		
Disagrees with all stated benefits of the proposal.	2	0.5%	1	0.2%	80	19.4%	0	0.0%		
Disagrees with the stated air quality and/or sustainable transport benefits of the proposal.	2	0.5%	2	0.5%	32	7.8%	0	0.0%		
Disagrees with the stated speed reduction and/or safety benefits of the proposal.	0	0.0%	8	1.9%	55	13.3%	0	0.0%		
Considers that 20mph limit would need enforcement to be effective.	14	3.4%	4	1.0%	8	1.9%	1	0.2%		
Considers that 20mph limit should be kept to residential roads and not main roads.	9	2.2%	2	0.5%	24	5.8%	1	0.2%		
Comments agreeing with 20mph limit proposals, including requests for installation on specific roads.	38	9.2%	0	0.0%	2	0.5%	0	0.0%		
Comments indicating full support for the proposal.	74	18.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%		
Other comments not relating to the questions asked in the consultation.	4	1.0%	2	0.5%	17	4.1%	0	0.0%		
Totals	412	163	39.6%	19	4.6%	228	55.3%	2	0.5%	

Social Media Responses (Total 114)				
Comment Theme	Agree (Including Strongly & Somewhat)	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree or Strongly Disagree	Not Indicated
No Comment.	0	0	0	0
Disagrees with all stated benefits of the proposal.	0	0	8	0
Disagrees with the stated air quality and/or sustainable transport benefits of the proposal.	2	6	14	0
Disagrees with the stated speed reduction and/or safety benefits of the proposal.	1	1	8	0
Considers that 20mph limit would need enforcement to be effective.	5	3	3	0
Considers that 20mph limit should be kept to residential roads and not main roads.	4	2	0	0
Comments agreeing with 20mph limit proposals, including requests for installation on specific roads.	21	1	0	0
Other comments not relating to the questions asked in the consultation.	0	20	12	0
Comments indicating full support for the proposal.	3	0	0	0
Totals	36	33	45	0

Kingston upon Thames Population & Household Totals by Wards			
		Projected 2020 Population	Households (2011 Census)
Maldens & Coombe	Coombe Hill	12,074	3,686
	Coombe Vale	10,242	3,600
	Beverley	11,251	3,924
	St James	9,427	3,308
	Old Malden	10,010	3,558
	N-hood Total	53,004	18,076
Kingston Town Centre	Tudor	9,994	3,731
	Canbury	15,595	5,072
	Grove	13,141	4,878
	Norbiton	11,373	4,050
	N-hood Total	50,103	17,731
Surbiton	Surbiton Hill	10,907	4,603
	St Marks	12,083	4,410
	Berrylands	9,836	4,305
	Alexandra	10,109	3,373
	N-hood Total	42,935	16,691
South of The Borough	Tolworth and Hook Rise	10,600	3,732
	Chessington North and Hook	9,123	3,374
	Chessington South	10,547	4,035
	N-hood Total	30,270	11,141
	Boroughwide Total	176,312	63,639
<p>Note:- The above data is as presented on the Kingston data pages on the borough website, which can be accessed by the public. Population data is projected 2020 figures and the Household data is 2011 totals. Both are based on information taken from the last national census with further information given on the website pages.</p>			